

1 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE
2 AND DORR LLP
3 John A. Rogovin (pro hac vice)
4 Randolph D. Moss (pro hac vice)
5 Samir C. Jain # 181572
6 Brian M. Boynton # 222193
7 Benjamin C. Mizer (pro hac vice)
8 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
9 Washington, DC 20006
10 Tel.: 202-663-6000
11 Fax: 202-663-6363
12 Email: john.rogovin@wilmerhale.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
Henry Weissmann # 132418
Susan R. Szabo # 155315
Aimee A. Feinberg # 223309
355 South Grand Avenue
35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
Tel.: 213-683-9100
Fax: 213-683-5150
Email: Henry.Weissmann@mto.com

8 Randal S. Milch (pro hac vice)
Verizon Communications Inc.
9 One Verizon Way
VC43E043
10 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920
Tel.: 908-559-1752
11 Fax: 908-696-2136
Email: randal.s.milch@verizonbusiness.com

12 Attorneys for Verizon Communications Inc.,
13 Verizon Global Networks Inc., and MCI, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18) MDL NO. 06-1791 VRW
19)
20) IN RE:) [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
21) NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY) VERIZON'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
22) TELECOMMUNICATIONS) LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION
23) RECORDS LITIGATION)
24)
25) This Document Relates To:) Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
26) No. 06-220 (D.R.I.))
27) No. 1:06-cv-632 (E.D. Cal.))
28) No. cv-06-77 (D. Mont.))
29) No. 06-2491 (D. La.))
30) No. cv-06-694 (D. Ore.))
31) No. 1:06-cv-2680 (N.D. Ill.))
32) No. 06-224 (D.R.I.))
33) No. 3:06-cv-3574 (N.D. Cal.))
34) No. 3:06-cv-4221 (N.D. Cal.))
35)
36)
37)

1 On April 30, 2007, Defendants Verizon Communications Inc., Verizon Global Networks
2 Inc., and MCI, LLC filed a Motion To Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction. Having considered
3 the motion and supporting memorandum filed by the moving Verizon defendants, the opposition of
4 Plaintiffs, the moving Verizon defendants' reply memorandum, and the arguments of counsel, and
5 finding that there is good cause supporting the relief requested in Verizon's motion,

6 || IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

7 1. Verizon's Motion To Dismiss is GRANTED on the ground that the Court lacks
8 general and specific jurisdiction over the moving Verizon defendants with respect to the cases listed
9 above in the caption; and

10 2. The claims against the moving Verizon defendants in the cases listed above in the
11 caption are dismissed.

12 The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

13
14 Dated: _____

Vaughn R. Walker
United States District Chief Judge